National Anti-Slavery Standard.

VOL. XVII. NO. 52. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MAY 16, 1857. WHOLE NO. 884.

National Anti-Slavery Standard.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY, ON SATURDAY,

AT TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM,

BY THE

AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

At its Office, 138 Nassau St., New York,

AND AT THE OFFICE OF THE

PENNSYLVANIA ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

31 North Fifth St., Philadelphia.

Advertisements inserted at $1 perspace for the first insertion, and 25 cents for each subsequent one. For advertisements less than a square, 50 cents for each insertion.

ROBBINS & JOHNSTON, PRINTERS.

Selections.

SLAVERY IN THE NEW SCHOOL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH.

[It appears to be the determination of leading Presbyterians in the Western states that the subject of slavery shall be fully discussed in the New School General Assembly, which is to meet next week in Cleveland. That your readers may see in what shape the question is to be presented and the action which the parties sought for by those who ask its consideration, we subjoin the resolutions adopted by three different Presbyteries.]

ACTION OF THE PRESBYTERY OF KALAMAZOO.

The Presbytery of Kalamazoo to the General Assembly, etc.

Since it has appeared, in the developments of the past year, that erroneous impressions exist and are widely disseminated in the general community, and in the Presbyterian Church in respect to American slavery—since an open apostacy from the common faith of the Christian Church, which regards this system of oppression as "essentially at variance with the principles and spirit of the gospel of Christ," is avowed by leading men in our Church, who rejoice that "the Slave Power has been and is gaining ground in the United States," and claim that slavery "is one form of the government of Christ over fallen men"; and since strenuous efforts are now made to defend, and perpetuate it, claiming that it "may properly be supported, defended and participated in by Christian men";

Therefore we earnestly petition the Assembly to make a new, solemn and emphatic declaration on this testimony, adapted to this new state of things, and to employ all the constitutional power in removing savery from the Churches where it now exists, as provided for in the Book of Discipline, chap. 7, sec. 3, and in the action of the General Assembly, at Detroit, in 1850, and in a previous action in 1818.

ACTION OF THE SCIOTO PRESBYTERY.

Since erroneous impressions exist in many minds in respect to the position of the Presbyterian Church, in regard to the sin of slavery, whereby its efficiency and usefulness threaten to be greatly impaired, and since an open apostacy from the common faith of the Christian Church on the subject of slavery is now avowed by men at the South, we feel that the General Assembly is called to make a new, solemn and emphatic declaration and testimony adapted to this new state of things; and also to direct the Boards and Presbyteries of the South, implicated in this sin, to institute judicial proceedings against such of their number as are implicated or shall avow their adherence to this great evil.

And, especially since it is openly maintained by professed followers of Christ that slavery, as a system, is right and to be defended, "as it is"—that it involves no necessary wrong, and may be properly supported, defended and participated in by Christian men, and this too at a time when the most strenuous efforts are made to extend the blight over vast regions now free, not only by legislative enactments and measures of violence, but more recently by judicial decision—we do, therefore, earnestly petition the Assembly to make the following declaration and testimony, or one equivalent to it, viz.

Whereas the system of slavery existing in these United States is essentially at variance with the principles and spirit of the gospel of Christ, and

Whereas slavery is a violation of the law making to extend and perpetuate it, notwithstanding the oppression, inhumanity and cruelty, and the numerous other evils, spiritual and temporal, which it involves,

Resolved, 1. That we feel constrained to renew their solemn testimony against this great iniquity, and do earnestly exhort and entreat all Christian men with whom they can have any influence not only not to participate in it, but to labor and pray against it as inconsistent and the whole moral power of the Christian Church.

Resolved, That the Synods connected with this Assembly in the slaveholding States of this Union be and are hereby required to enjoin on their several Presbyteries to take the proper steps of discipline with such of their members as may be slaveholders, in such sense as has been pronounced "an offence" requiring discipline.

Passed by the Presbytery of Scioto, in session at Trenton, April 6th, 1857.

Attest: J. CHESPER, Stated Clerk.

ACTION OF THE PRESBYTERY OF CLEVELAND.

Whereas, the General Assembly of 1818 delared that, We consider the voluntary enslaving of one part of the human race by another, as a gross violation of the most precious and sacred rights of human nature; as utterly inconsistent with the law of God, which requires us to love our neighbor as ourselves"; and as totally irreconcilable with the spirit and principles of the Gospel of Christ which enjoin, that "all things whatsoever ye would that men should do unto you, do ye even onto them"; and whereas, this declaration has been more frequently referred to, and reiterated by subsequent Assemblies, as to make it properly the sentiment of our branch of the Church on this subject; and whereas strenuous efforts are making to defend this system of wrong as a *proper vocation*; to uphold it over all the free soil of States and Territories of the Union, and to multiply its victims by a renewal of the slave trade; therefore, we earnestly desire the General Assembly, at its session, to be held in Cleveland, next May, to make some such declaration of sentiment as the following, viz:

Resolved, 1. That this Assembly regard the teachings, "that slavery is a *proper relation*, becoming wrong only by perversion and abuse, and that as Christians we should only attempt to correct and prevent these evils"; and any other instruction on this subject adverse to the oftrepeated sentiments of the Assembly, as an error in doctrine reproachful to the Christian name, and greatly mischievous in its influence upon the interests of religion.

Resolved, 2. That we earnestly exhort and entreat all the ministers, elders, and membership of our connection, that they may not only not participate in the spirit, principles and practice of voluntary slaveholding, but that they labour in all proper ways to array against it the conscience and the whole moral power of the Christian Church, and that when these fail the disciplinary power of the Church be employed to remove from our communion all slaveholding and slave practices.

Resolved, 3. That, since the Assembly of 1850 resolved that "the holding of our fellow-men in a condition of slavery, except in those case where it is unavoidable by the laws in force at the time of possession, is unchristian, or the demands of humanity, is an offence in the proper import of that term, as used in the Book of Discipline, chap. 1, sec. 3, and should be regarded and treated in the same manner as other offences"; and whereas, the Rev. Robert McLain, from the Presbytery of Newton, Synod of Miss., declared in the Assembly of 1853, concerning the Churches, which he represented, that "as many owned slaves as were inside of their own; that they held them for gain; that he considered a great advantage to have slaveholders join the Church, as they were so well able to support the Gospel"; and, whereas, the reports of the Assembly of 1856 represent Rev. Wm. E Holley, from the Presbytery of Lexington, South Synod of Miss., as deliberately declaring on the floor of the Assembly, "I am a slaveholder; I am a slaveholder from principle; I have nothing to concede on that subject; I will not state one thing in New York and another in Mississippi; I will even go further than to say that I am a slaveholder from principle—I am a slaveholder from choice"; and, whereas, Mr. Holley, being dead, yet speaketh these same sentiments through Rev. Dr. Newton, of Jackson, Miss., who says, in a tribute to the memory of Mr. Holley, published in the *Christian Observer*:

"I deem it due to the memory of his good name, his unquestioned piety, and eminent services to the Church to the salvation of souls, both of the bond and free, as well as for the respect and affection which we bear toward his bereaved family, that some of us should favourably avouch the character and conduct of our deceased brother, and say to the Synod or to the General Assembly: 'If he hath wronged thee, or oweth thee aught, put that on mine account.'

"Accordingly, I hereby declare that there are to-day one, two, three—a half dozen (and many more) ministers, members of the several Presbyteries constituting the Synod of Mississippi, who are slaveholders, as Mr. Holley was; and who will say as Mr. Holley said, 'we are slaveholders from principle.' That is, as Mr. Holley evidently meant, we are slaveholders conscientiously; we do not deem slaveholding a sin.

"And I hereby notify the Synod of Genesee, and all whom it may concern, that whenever it is desired, I will give the names and the declarations of ministers, with their autograph signatures, occupying precisely the same ground as that professed by Mr. Holley."

And whereas, Such statements from such sources evince that corrupt practices in regard to the treatment of men do prevail in the Presbyteries connected with the Synod of Mississippi; and that no suitable discipline has been exercised therefor; therefore, we further desire the Assembly to "take cognizance" of this matter, according to the authority conferred upon them by the Book of Discipline, chap. 7, sec. 1, and require said Synod to see that its Presbyteries purge themselves from such offences against the word of God and the order of the Church.

JAMES SHAW, Stated Clerk.

Newburgh, April 9th, 1857.

From The Cleveland Leader.

DR. ROSS AND THE NEW SCHOOL ASSEMBLY.

As the New School General Assembly is soon to meet in Cleveland, the following scrap of history may be of interest, taken from an article in the Chicago *Congregational Herald*, written by the Rev. Asa Turner, of Denmark, Iowa, and bearing his signature:

"In 1833.

"I wish also to state an incident in the life of Dr. Ross, the great slavery defender in the last New School General Assembly. [Dr. Ross was related to me by the late Dr. Nelson.

"The Doctor's mother was his father's slave. But instead of selling his children as many slaveholders do, he liberated the mother and her children and left them his property. There were two brothers. The eldest, as he came up to manhood, became a party one evening. A young buck asked him what gave the Presbyterian church society of gentlemen. He pulled out a purse of gold, and, holding it up, said, 'this gave me admittance.' 'No,' replied the minister, 'gold cannot give you a right to the society of gentlemen. Your blood is not pure.' This was a deadly blow. He could no longer live under the reproaches of mortified pride. He went ont, took a pistol, and shot himself. Frederick, the younger son, made his way into Tennessee, there became hopefully pious, and is now the *great* Dr. Ross."

The father of Dr. Ross, after having added to the crime of holding the Doctor's mother as a slave, that of causing her children to become the slaves of his children, should receive the credit of liberating them and giving them his property. But suppose he had not emancipated them. What would be the Doctor's present position, if so long unspotted from the changed state of things? Would he not, in all probability, be propounding the divine right of slavery, with all its concomitants, robbed of his manhood, his intellect blotted out, as a superfinity from the hand of his mother, blessed with the beasts of the plantation, and subject to the lash of any of the "natives-block?" If the reading of God's word, and the exercise of the cultivated common sense as to its teachings, were compatible with such an experience, our opinion is, the Doctor would find very few arguments in support of the position he now seems so proud to advocate—that the Bible sanctions slavery. Better for the cause of Christ that the latter had been his condition, than that he should be allowed to exert a leading influence to bring the principles and practice of the Church into a branch of the Church as the New School Assembly is, to his demoralizing standard of faith and practice.

Will not Dr. Ross admit that what has been to him an unspeakable blessing, is—emancipation by his master, with the means of a comfortable support and education for the time being, would be an equally great blessing to every other slave? And if so, how can he, *now* being *free*, turn his back upon his brethren and with the heel of oppression grind them into the dust, and thus place a stand acquitted before the bar of Him, who judges not according to the appearance but according to the state of the heart?

H.

INTERESTING FROM KANSAS.

SECRETARY STANTON'S REPLY TO THE FREE STATE SETTLERS.

LAWRENCE, K. T., April 25th.

Hon. F. P. Stanton, Acting Governor, &c.

DEAR SIR: In your address to the people of Lawrence last evening, we understood you to say in substance, that you would enforce the laws enacted by a Legislature elected by the people of the Territory, however much they should be repealed; also, if the laws are unjust or distasteful our remedy is the ballot-box.

We are now invited to participate in an election of delegates to a Constitutional Convention to meet in September next, to frame a constitution for the government of the people.

We are told that the election law in a good one; that the voice of the actual settlers can be heard at the polls, and that no fraud can prevail against it.

We regret that the past conduct of the officers to superintend this election has not been such as to permit us to believe that they will secure a fair vota of the people; that the Governor has not known citizens in Kansas are omitted from the registry list, and that all newly-known citizens and residents of Missouri are registered, is conclusive proof to us that a fair election is not intended, and will not be permitted by the officers who have thus far had the matter in charge.

But if a fair election is intended, notwithstanding the body of men calling it was not elected by the people of Kansas; and notwithstanding the people have already expressed their opinion, will you, as Governor, announce, we, the undersigned, are willing to overlook the past, and go into the election of delegates to a Constitutional Convention, should a Convention of the people of Kansas concur, if the following course will be adopted by the officers charged with the elections?

First—Two persons shall be elected in each Township or District to correct the registry list—one by the Pro-Slavery and one by the Free State party, who shall proceed to canvass the Township to take the census of the legal voters; and the Probate Judges shall correct the first lists, and the appointment of delegates shall be made according to the returns thus made.

Second—That the Judges of Election shall be selected for each voting precinct, two by the Pro-slavery and two by the Free State party; and the names of three of said judges shall be required to a certificate of election to entitle a person to a seat in the Convention.

* * * * * * *

Very respectfully, your obedient servants,

C. ROBINSON,	G. W. SMITH,
WM. HUTCHINSON,	GEO. FOSTER,
EDWARD J. LAND,	JOSEPH HEDELSON,
EPHRAIM NUTE, JR.,	G. JENKINS,
JOHN HUTCHINSON,	S. S. EMORY,
G. C. BRACKETT,	JOHN H. WAKEFIELD,
E. D. LADD,	A. A. FINLEY,
	C. W. BABCOCK.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE, LECOMPTON, K. T., April 30, 1857.

GENTLEMEN: Your letter of the 25th last. reached me only by last night's mail. I proceed without delay to reply to the proposition you make in reference to the election about to be held for delegates to a Constitutional Convention.

As I take a different view of the laws of the Territory from that which you express, it will be impossible for me to consent to any new proceeding in opposition to that which has been sanctioned by the Legislative authority.

I did not hear from Gen. Maclean any such admission as you represent him to have made. That gentleman spoke only of his individual action in the particular mentioned, and whether that action was rigbt or wrong, or whether it occurred in that individual instance only, or a thousand others, by men either from Missouri or Massachusetts, it could not invalidate the laws which now prevail in the Territory. If I believed—as I do not believe—that the laws of the Territory were wrong or "enacted by a Legislature elected by the people of an adjoining State," it would be still impossible for me to act them aside—the attempt to do so would be an act of usurpation, not less objectionable in its character and effects than the fraudulent interference which you attribute to the people of Missouri. I must, therefore, say to you in the most explicit language, that I can do nothing which denies the authority and validity of the laws enacted within this Territory. Congress alone has power to abrogate them.

I have no authority over the Probate Judges. It is not my province to advise them in relation to the performance of their judicial functions. Yet it will not be improper for me to say that it would be very judicious and becoming in them to obtain every possible information from respectable men of both parties, in order to enable them to correct the list of voters. If such important men of their own will and within the time limited by law, could take a new census and present it to the Probate Judges with sufficient proof of its fairness and accuracy, I think the Probate Judges would be bound to adopt and return it to the Governor as the true list legally corrected.

I should be sorry to see any Probate Judge in the Territory refuse to receive the sworn testimony of two respectable men, differing in politics, as to any matter within their knowledge, connected with the residence of citizens and their qualifications as voters. I do not believe such a wrong can possibly have occurred, and I therefore say that if you had been desirous of obtaining a correct list of voters for the coming election, you had it in your power to accomplish that object in perfect conformity with the law.

It is not my purpose to reply to your statement of facts. I cannot do so from any personal knowledge, enabling me either to admit or deny them. I may say, however, I have heard statements quite as authentic as your own, and in some instances from members of your own party, to the effect that your political friends have very generally, indeed almost universally, refused to participate in the pending proceedings for registering the names of the legal voters. In some instances they have given fictitious names, and in other instances they have refused to give any names at all. You cannot deny that your party have heretofore resolved not to take part in the registration, and it appears to me that without indulging ungenerous suspicions of the integrity of officers, you might well attribute any errors and omissions of the registry to the existence of this well-known and controlling fact. I forbear to say anything of the unreasonableness of your requirement that we shall set aside the law in order to accomplish what you say you will do in obedience to its provisions, but I will be most happy to learn that you, gentlemen, and your party friends generally, have been at last in earnest with a view to enable the Probate Judges to obtain a perfect list of the legal voters of the Territory. You have had power to correct the lists—if you have failed to do it, the fault will be your own.

In reference to your proposition to appoint four judges of election at every place of voting, I have to say that the law very wisely authorizes only three. The Governor has nothing to do with their appointment. It is not in my power, therefore, to adopt your suggestions in this particular. If I had any authority to act, I should, in every instance, appoint as judges of election one Inspector of your party, one National Democrat in favour of a free State, and one National Democrat in favour of making a slave State. This would be quite as fair and impartial a mode of proceeding as ever obtained can be adopted by political parties in any country. I most sincerely hope the Probate Judges may adopt this suggestion, or any other which may better avail to secure a perfectly fair and independent expression of the popular will.

I have the honour to be, very respectfully,

Your obedient servant,

FREDERICK P. STANTON,

and acting Governor of Kansas Territory.

To C. Robinson, Wm. Hutchinson, Edward Clark, and others.

SOUTHERN RUFFIANISM.

I SUSPECT that in all accounts which have hitherto been published of the Kansas struggle, the amount of the reenforcement derived by the Border Ruffians from Missouri, Alabama and Tennessee, has been greatly underrated. Too much has been set down to the account of Missouri and of the border men. In analyzing all trobles and rows in the South and West, we must ever keep in mind the existence of a large *class dangerosa* through all the slave States, composed mostly of poor planters, too ripe for mischief, and ever on the watch for a "free fight," a filibustering expedition, *n'importequoi*, in which some excitement is to be had, and which holds out in addition, some small prospect of booty. The whole of the middle and Southern plantation life are often at a loss to account for the appearance there of the hordes of idle adventurers who seem to spring in swarms from the soil, at the call of any freebooter who chooses to dub himself "general," and declare war on his private account against any neighbouring State, who are found in crowds in bar-rooms, on steamboats, in volunteer regiments in time of war, and generally in all places where life is weak and the revolver omnipotent. It requires such keen capital to procure a stock of negroes sufficient to work a plantation of any size with advantage, that few planters are able to spare more than one in the world as farmers. Fewer still have hands enough to form a class, and the rest of the landless population of the South is composed of the hangers-on of the plantations. Reader, this outrage did *not* take place in Mississippi or Alabama, but it *did* take place in the great North West—in Illinois—one of the most civilized of the Northwest Territory, which was ceded to the General Government by Virginia, in 1787; one of the express conditions of such cession being that slavery or involuntary servitude should not exist. The punishment of crime, should be forever prohibited in the Territory. Yet even in 1819, Thomas Jefferson, whose memory the bogus democracy of the present day affect to revere, drafted the immortal Ordinance of '87, and although it was introduced by Nathaniel Pitts, a Northern man after the Declaration of every Southern State represented in Convention. Modern democracy, however, is different from the genuine Jeffersonian article, and when the two conflict together the former is sure to triumph. Accordingly the original intention of this State passed the following act through the Legislature; it was approved by a Democratic Governor, and still remains in force—a damning disgrace to the entire State:

"If any negro or mulatto, bond or free, shall hereafter come into this State and remain a period of ten days, every such negro or mulatto shall be deemed guilty of a high misdemeanor, and for the first offence shall receive a gift of thirty-nine lashes on his bare back, well laid on, and shall forthwith depart the State; and for every subsequent offence shall be punished in like manner; and it shall be the duty of each and every justice of the peace, sheriff and constable in this State to apprehend and carry before any justice of the peace in the county where said negro or mulatto may be found, every negro or mulatto so offending, and the said justice shall, on proof of said guilt, and the fact that said negro or mulatto has remained in the State ten days after notice to depart as aforesaid, forthwith, it shall be the duty of said Justice to commit said negro or mulatto to the custody of the Sheriff of said county, or otherwise keep him, her or them in custody; * * * * * the said Justice shall AT PUBLIC AUCTION proceed to SELL said negro or mulatto for ready money, to the highest bidder, first one and costs for the shortest time; and the said purchaser shall have a right to the SERVICE of said negro or mulatto to work for and serve out said time," &c.

In pursnance of the above act Redmond was arrested and ordered to be sold, and on the 18th inst. he was led up to the auction block. Just previous to the time of sale, however, the Lieutenant-Governor of the State arrived, advanced the amount of fine and costs, sixty-two dollars and a half. This was a grievous disappointment to the bogus Democrats of Belleville, who were in great hopes all the while that Redmond, a free man, whose crime was that of wearing a dark skin, which was given to him by the hand of the Almighty.

The Belleville *Advocate* closes an article on the subject in the following spirit:

"The Magistrate who committed the men whose only crime was a skin not coloured like the said Magistrate's, and who fined them and sold the negro for paying a fine of six and a half dollars, is Casper Thiell, a man who goes in for "the Union, the Constitution, and the Bible," a National Democrat whose ideas of freedom begin and end with Slavery."

Such is modern Democrasy, *alias* Border Ruffianism!

LETTER FROM A VIRGINIAN ON SLAVERY AND THE CHURCHES.

[The following letter comes to us, says *The Independent*, from a N. Y. Presbyterian minister in Ohio. He was born and has always lived in Virginia, and who knows all about the "peculiar institution" by a long observation and experience of its working. It speaks for itself and will repay the attention of our readers.—ED. IND.]

To the Editors of The Independent.

I HAVE read everything I have seen *pro* and *con* about the late action of the M. E. Church Missionary Society, and I wish to express my surprise on reading a letter of Rev. Mr. Nelson, of St. Louis, Mo., in a late number of *The Evangelist*. Believing that the author of that letter is a minister of the Gospel, he speaks like a fool. I cannot conceive how he can regard a man as a *Christian* who "knowingly upholds this system, or who selfishly avails himself of it for gain, or to promote his own ease, or comfort, or indulgence, or at the expense of the happiness of his fellow creatures." Surely our good brother, since he has gone to St. Louis, has not forgotten the golden rule taught by the Founder of Christianity, "Do unto others as ye would have them do unto you."

I am a Northern or New England man, and sincerely ignorant, from personal observation, of the institution of slavery. Mr. Nelson's letter might have made quite a different impression on my mind as to the state of things in the South, had I not been born and raised, born and educated and spent forty years of my life in the South, and perhaps know as much about matters and things in the slave States as our brother, who has so recently come from a free to a slave State. I shall, therefore, take the liberty of testifying to a few things that I *know and have seen*.

Mr. Nelson says, "The dresser of the vineyard pleads in vain for *liberty to dig* about the unfruitful tree another year. He must cut it down or leave the vineyard." In the *National Intelligencer*, that this school must be put down. He don't want to provoke "a sectional controversy"!! He would simply suppress agitation by suppressing the education of coloured children in the District. Says the Hon. Walter:

"The matter involved is too momentous in all its relations, not only to this community, but to the entire country; and, in the language of the extract itself, 'rises infinitely above all party and personal considerations.' It is my will to arrest public attention here, as also elsewhere, in order that such immediate measures may be adopted as the exigency of the case demands. If I do not entirely mistake the opinion which the citizens of this District will entertain of the character and fatal consequences of this enterprise, they will almost universally, without distinction of party or class, emphatically protest against it, and will confidently expect that the advocates of this measure will promptly abandon it as an unjust and dangerous interference with the interests and feelings of a separate independent community."

Where? We shall gain nothing by concession or delay. The agitation was started some years ago in humble guise, and in its foothold it has already gained a fearful power for future progress. Earnest, prompt action can now arrest it effectually; but delay and trifling will but increase its power. "With justice, we can say to the advocates of this measure, You are not competent to decide this question; your habits of thought, your ignorance of the institution, your false position, prevent you from making a full and candid examination of the merits. And, above all, the temper of the public mind is inauspicious even for its consideration. If your humanity demands this particular sphere for its action, and if, to use your own language, prudence would brand them as fools in Northern schools, establish separate institutions in the Free States, dispense your money there abundantly as your charity will supply, draw to them the unfortunate as by your own door, or from abroad, and in all respects gratify the largest impulses of your philanthropy; but do not seek to ingraft on a system contrary to our wishes and interests, and for the further reason that by so doing you injure the cause of those whom you express a wish to serve. We States when the mischief limits we are the best, and must be the exclusive, judges of the character and extent of the instruction that shall be imparted to this class of our population; and we shall be found prepared for what the nature of the influences they may seek or shall be permitted to exercise."

Certainly, Mr. Lennox! you citizens of the District can break up the school, burn the house, confiscate the grounds, and punish or banish the teacher, if you insist on it. You have the power. The States when they left the claim of the poor and despised is no crime to prevent such demonstration on your part. But the People of the Free States have a right to judge whether a District in which such wrongs are instigated by the rich and powerful, and executed by their blind instrument, the mob, shall remain the Capital of this "Model Republic," and they will ponder the question without fear of your mobs or your denunciations. Nay, they will require their Representatives not to vote additional sums for the public buildings, to increase your wealth and power, if you war thus on the education of the lowly which you are not asked to support. At the late session of Congress, million after million of their money was voted away to enrich rich planters who rent the rent of your houses—one million at one dash of the pen in aid of the construction of an aqueduct, at the cost of the Federal Treasury, to diffuse the water of the Potomac through your splendid houses; and they will be more right to be supplied with water at their expense than have the dwellings of New York or Chicago. You drove that appropriation through by means of a Committee of Conference, when you knew that the House was at least two-thirds against it. And when they left the Capital the former be permitted to hold on in the work of destruction." On this point, when will the North understand the South?—VIRGINIA.—*Independent.*

EXHIBITION OF SOUTHERN CHIVALRY.

From The Cleveland Leader.

EVERYBODY KNOWS, says the Cincinnati *Commercial*, or has heard of Alf. Burnett, the comic and tragic mimic and elocutionist. Alf. was advertised to open one of his entertainments in Richmond. This, reaching the ears of some thirty or forty of the daring and chivalrous denizens, in the shape of school-house squirts, they determined it should not take place. So they, like true gentlemen, to riddle his body through if he persisted in coming. So to give vent, and get their blood-thirsty designs ready for instant action, they commenced upon his agent, a Kentuckian by birth, and Lecturing him, took from him all the fine photographs of Alf., and jumped upon them, crushing picture, frame and glass, into atoms; then glutted their vengeance upon innocent posters and programmes and pronounced his name treatment to the aforesaid Alf. when he arrived. A message was sent to Alf. to inform Alf. of the "terrific scenes" enacted. Alf. was in Lexington as yet, and here is the note received by one-horse EXPRESS—but we should here state that the agent was a Northern man, and not a native of the South:

DEAR ALF.: You will no doubt be surprised at receiving a note from me. I returned only an hour since. I went to Tom Marshall's to spend the night, and to-day to Frankfort. For God's sake, do not go to RICHMOND; they will riddle you with TOW BALLS NOT BE PERMITTED TO GIVE YOUR ENTERTAINMENT there. I would be implicated with you should you go. After making application for the hall, was told in a very decided manner that the MUNICIPALITY would be allowed to lecture there." I tried to reason with them, but found it useless, and as a specimen of their determination to maltreat you, they tore down your posters and programmes, and mashed your pieces, and taking your posters and programmes, tore them into shreds, and swore they would serve you in the same manner. I cannot tell you one-tenth part of what I have passed through. I must see you *to-night*, for DANGER IS BREWING. I would be implicated. Remember and see me to-night. It is to your advantage, not mine.

The chivalrous gentlemen of Richmond, some years ago made an attempt to suppress Welch with a company of equestrians and acrobats. Upon their appearance, however, they discovered that they had something more than show bills and lithographs to contend with, for the circus men not only whipped them out of their boots, but out of their town. The old adage that every cock will fight best upon his own dunghill don't hold good in that case, for the Richmond cocks, although numbering twenty to one against the sawdust men, proved that they were faster runners than fighters. Alf. was thirsty for blood up to the fighting, until the appearance of the devoted agent of Alf. Burnett gave them a chance to uncork it, and thus, in the annihilation of the vile show bills and "pieters" of the "corsed" Richmond chivalry, a new order of this immortal burg is resuscitated, and, in the language of the immortal poet, her citizens may exclaim:

"*If Richmond's* fit to live,
Then Alf. has no business to."

The following communication from "Alf." also appears in the *Commercial*:

ADIEU TO KENTUCKY.

LEXINGTON, KY., April 27th, 1857.

EDS. COM.: On account of the ungenerous treatment I have met with in Lexington and Richmond, and not wishing to jeopardize my life among such a people, I have made up my mind to bid adieu to Kentucky forever, or at least until the unruly portion of her people have been taught good manners. In closing Ky. I wish to return my sincere thanks to many noble spirits I met with in Maysville, Mayslick, Mount Sterling, Cynthiana and Paris in Lexington as to the poor cowardly wretches who vented their spleen upon my property, the worst wish I have for them is that they may live to grow more wise and learn the true meaning of the word hospitality, of which they boast so much.

Respectfully yours, ALF. BURNETT.

Intolerance, revolution and ruffianism are the natural results of the "peculiar institution," and we have no more right to expect any other fruit from so foul a source than to expect a Upas tree to bear figs. We bope one day to see our glorious State vindicate the reputation she won during the times of Boone by purging herself of the pestilential curse.

A SLAVE WHIPPED TO DEATH.

From The Newport (Ky.) News.

WE have received information that a slave was chained with a log chain, on the 25th ult., in Pulaski County, by a Mr. Legal, his overseer, and whipped with a raw hide, for six days, from fifty to one hundred stripes. On the morning of the seventh day he approached to complete the week's torture, but failed to enjoy that day's whipping. He found the poor tortured mortal dead.

The slave had asked permission of his master to go to see his wife on Saturday night, which request was denied him; but notwithstanding, the black man, after his work was done, went to see his wife, and returned before day to make fires and to feed.

He was put into a box and buried; but the coroner, hearing of the outrage, had the body dug open and examined by three physicians, who pronounced, "death by whipping." Officers are in search of the inhuman monster, but we presume nothing will be done with him if found.

Let the reader imagine that a negro has whipped a white man to death for going to see his wife at the end of his week's work, and that too at night, when all were asleep, and see what will be his feelings.

White men are put to death for murder, black ones for love and true affection. O Slavery! The devil will get you.

MR. BAILEY—*Dear Sir:* We often hear it said that the condition of the slave is growing better in our land, and this is offered as a reason why we anti-slavery men should remain silent. If there be improvement, and there is in the condition of many, that improvement is attributed to the anti-slavery agitation. Slaveholders, under this agitation, suppress and conceal inhumanities, just as drunkards hinder the temperance agitation, conceal their bottles or jugs.

And if it were true that agitation does render the condition of the slave more arduous, still it would be our duty to agitate, plead for the release of the slave, just as it was the duty of Moses to persevere in his demand that the Hebrews go free, even though, by so doing, the condition of the Hebrew was rendered more severe.

I have just returned from a preaching tour in Pulaski County. There I learned a fact which should rouse the whole nation. It is the case of a Methodist whipping his slave to death. I could give you the facts as detailed to me, but soon you will have them officially. The facts as stated to me were of the most shocking character, surpassing in inhumanity any fact I ever heard of. The offence was said to be that of going to his wife's house on Saturday night, when forbidden by his master; though he was, as told me, back on Sunday before sunrise, to make fires and feed the stock. But legal investigation will probably reveal the facts.

Now, had this poor slave survived his torturous treatment, it is not probable that the six day's torture would have been known beyond the household or testimony of "niggers," as they are derisively termed. Four unfortunate ones, stripped of their oath, they are virtually stripped of protection.

Some of the officers of State were in search of the master when I left. It was thought by many there, that money and hatred to the poor slaves would shield the master from punishment. Mr. Bailey, the facts will prove the contrary. For, save this exception, I have not visited a County in the State where I was, on first visit, so favourably impressed with the people. Our meetings, part of this time were large, all attentive, solemn, attentive, with manifest good impression. Many cried aloud, lamenting the downfall of slavery. Let us work on. I send you a new subscriber. Yours, for the freedom of all men.

JOHN G. FEE.

Berea, Madison Co., Ky., April 30, 1857.

THE WAR IN LIBERIA.

From The American Baptist.

WE copied, not long since from another paper, a statement to the effect that hostilities had broken out at Cape Palmas between the colonists and natives, and that much property had been destroyed and many lives lost. Further particulars are communicated in letters from members of the Protestant Episcopal Mission. Under date Dec. 30, 1850, Bishop Payne writes:

"The past week has been the most eventful in the history of the Colony at Cape Palmas—perhaps the most eventful in the history of the Mission. The colonists, moved by various provocations, have burned up all the native towns, eight in number, and driven their inhabitants, not far off, but into the bush, where they suffer, or such interior villages as would afford them shelter; and the natives, in their turn, have burned several unprotected houses in the colony, and amongst them, our dear station and our first African home—Mount Vaughan."

Bishop has omitted to state what the "provocations" were, by which the colonists were induced to commence the war; but from a letter of Mr. Hoffman, also of the mission, bearing the same date, we learn that it was their refusal to listen to propositions for the purchase of their towns, and for their immediate removal. He says:

"On the 13th December there was a report that a conspiracy had been formed by all the natives to destroy the colonists. An investigation took place the following week at which I was not satisfactory to the Government, and on Monday the 22d, the head men were called, and propositions made for their immediate removal, and the purchase of their towns. These not complying with, on Tuesday the declared and the cannon opened fire on the dwellings of the natives, which were in number, and proceeded down the beach with the Cavalla natives to Camala, where they spent the night. Not a man of the colonists was lost, though the natives suffered dreadfully. They returned on the 27th, and the natives fled before them. Many of the natives have since come in, many into the interior, and to-day have sent to make overtures of peace."

So it appears to have been a regular fillibustering movement, on the principles of the Ostend Manifesto. The natives made such resistance as they might; but they were soon subdued, their towns wrapped in conflagration, and property to the amount of three days' journey from the interior. Thance, they sued for peace—which, it seems, was not granted. A letter dated Monrovia, Feb. 1, 1857, says:

"The last intelligence from Cape Palmas was that Governor Drayton sent out an expedition of some fifty men to attack a new town, and twenty-six were either killed or severely wounded. In this number were the brave Lieut. Lowry, of Palmas, being most of her young and active men. The loss was severely felt by them."

To avenge this loss, an expedition from Monrovia was about to proceed to the Cape. The writer says:

"Our Government has appropriated ten thousand dollars to aid Cape Palmas in the present difficulty. Two men of war are under arms, and will leave here to-morrow evening in the Mary Caroline Heyes for the seat of war. Ex-President Roberts has the command of the expedition."

From the following postscript of the same letter, it may be inferred that the natives, at least in the judgment of the writer, were most unjustly stunned against that stinging.

"We have just heard from Cape Palmas. A boat came in this evening, and reports that nothing has occurred of moment since the attack on the natives. No attempt had been made by the natives to attack the settlements, showing that the hostilities are not hostile in the country, and would, if properly treated, live on terms of peace with them."

TANEY THE CHIEF JUSTICE AND TANEY THE LAWYER.

HIS OPINIONS ON SLAVERY AND THE CONSTITUTION IN 1819.

From The Philadelphia Bulletin, May 5.

THE HON. ROGER B. TANEY, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, during the year of grace 1857, delivered a judicial decision affirming slave property, and, among them, that slaves are property according to the Constitution of the United States; that the language of the Declaration of Independence about all men being created free and equal was not meant to apply to colored people; and that in old times everybody believed these doctrines.

In the year 1819, the Rev. Jacob Gruber, a minister of the Baltimore Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, was tried in the Frederick County Court, Maryland, for "attempting to excite insubordination and insurrection among slaves," by preaching a sermon in which he set forth the duty of masters to treat well their slaves. Mr. Roger B. Taney was one of the counsel for the defence, and in a pamphlet account of the trial, published in 1819, at Fredericktown, Md., by Mr. David Martin, and now in the library of the city of New York, the duty of slavery, of the rights of man, and of the Declaration of Independence, at that time. For the benefit of Mr. Taney's good name, and for the purpose of letting every one compare his former opinions with his present decision, we offer a few extracts from the closing speech for the defence:

MR. TANEY SHOWS THE RELATION OF METHODISM TO SLAVERY.

"It is well known that the gradual and peaceful abolition of slavery in these States is one of the objects which the Methodist Church has always steadily in view. No slaveholder is allowed to be a Minister of that Church. Their preachers are accustomed, in their sermons, to speak of the injustice and oppression of slavery. The opinion of Mr. Wesley on this subject nobody could doubt. And if any slaveholder believes that emancipation is required by duty, or the commnnity, to suffer his slaves to learn that all slavery is unjust and oppressive, and persuade himself that they would not of themselves be able to make the discovery, it was in the power of the Church, when attending the assemblies where such doctrines were likely to be delivered, Mr. Gruber did not go to the slaves; they came to him. They could not come if their masters had chosen to prevent them."

HE VINDICATES FREE SPEECH.

"Mr. Gruber feels that it is due to his own character—to the station he fills—to the respectable society of Christians to which he is a Minister of the Gospel, not only to defend himself from this prosecution, but to take occasion to vindicate here, the principles he maintained in his sermon. There is no law that forbids us to speak of slavery as such—to think of it. Any man has a right to publish his opinions on it to the world—to express his sentiments on the subject of national concern, and may at all times be freely discussed."

MR. TANEY SPEAKS OF SLAVERY, NOT AS A LAWYER, BUT IN THE PULPIT.

"Mr. Grnber did quote the language of our great act of National Independence, and insisted on the principles contained in that venerated instrument. Ha did rebuke those who, forgetting in the exercise of power, are deaf to the calls of humanity and to the dictates of justice, and they might bring upon themselves. Ha did speak with abhorrence of those reptiles, who live by trading in human flesh, and enrich themselves by tearing the husband from the wife, the infant from the bosom of the mother; and this I am instructed was the head and front of his offending. Shall I content myself," continued Mr. Taney, "with saying he had a right to say this? that there is no law to punish him? So far is State, from the object of punishment in any form of proceedings, that we are prepared to maintain the same principles, and to use, if necessary, the same language here in the temple of justice, and in the presence of those who are the ministers of the law.

A hard necessity, indeed, compels us to endure the evil of slavery for a time. It was imposed upon us by another nation, while we were yet in a state of colonial vassalage. It cannot be easily or suddenly removed. Yet while in existence, it is a blot on our national character, and every real lover of freedom confidently hopes that it will be effectually, though it must be gradually wiped away; and earnestly looks for the means by which this necessary object may be best obtained. And until that shall be accomplished; until the time shall come when we can point without a blush to the language held in the Declaration of Independence, every friend of humanity will seek to lighten the burden, and soften the yoke of slavery, and better, if he can, the condition of his

National Anti-Slavery Standard.

WITHOUT CONCEALMENT—WITHOUT COMPROMISE.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MAY 16, 1857.

☞ New England Anti-Slavery Convention.—The Annual New England Anti-Slavery Convention will be held in Boston on Wednesday and Thursday, May 27 and 28, at the MELODEON, commencing on Wednesday, at 10 o'clock A. M.

It is a time like the present—with all the safeguards of liberty overthrown, and the rule of a slaveholding oligarchy absolute over the country—with the highest motives and the strongest inducements for personal self-sacrifice and individual action—that the true friends of freedom can need no importunity to secure, on their part, a thronged attendance at this anniversary, which has uniformly been distinguished for the interest and spirit of its proceedings, and which, in view of the startling events that have transpired since its last celebration, cannot fail to be marked by an increasing earnestness and devotion.

Among the speakers at this Convention may be expected William Lloyd Garrison, Wendell Phillips, Theodore Parker (should his health be sufficiently restored), Edmund Quincy, Parker Pillsbury, T. W. Higginson, Charles L. Remond, Chas. C. Burleigh, Stephen S. Foster, Abby Kelley Foster, Susan B. Anthony, and Drew T. Foss, Aaron M. Powell, and many others.

In behalf of the Board of Managers of the Massachusetts Anti-Slavery Society. Francis Jackson, President.
Robert F. Wallcut, Rec. Sec.

AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY.

TWENTY-FOURTH ANNIVERSARY.

Reported for The Standard by Wm. Henry Burr.

The Twenty-fourth Anniversary of the American Anti-Slavery Society was commenced on Tuesday morning, May 12, at the City Assembly Rooms. The spacious hall was filled with about 2,000 people.

Mr. Garrison, the President of the Society, after calling the meeting to order, read Selections from the Scriptures. An opportunity was then offered to any one who felt moved to make vocal prayer in behalf of the oppressed.

The Rev. A. D. Mayo, of Albany, offered an appropriate prayer.

Mr. Garrison then submitted the following resolutions, which he had been instructed to present to the Society at a preliminary meeting held last evening:

1. Resolved, That the only abolitionism we promulgate, and call upon the nation to reduce to practice, is embodied in the self-evident truths of the Declaration of Independence, and in the Golden Rule of the Gospel—nothing more, nothing less.

2. Resolved, That we have been, and still are, actuated by no other motive than the desire to see the Union preserved; and whenever they come in conflict with its God-given rights, they are to be modified or abolished, and he is to stand crowned with glory and honour, as one wiser than the fathers, who shall do it.

3. Resolved, That we shall allow nothing to stand between the slave and his emancipation—neither political party nor religious sect, neither parchment nor compact, neither Constitution nor Union; that we shall press on, unmindful of the hate or fear of mankind, diverted by no side issue, intimidated by no menace, appalled by no danger, till we break his yoke, and place him, redeemed and disenthralled, upon the world-wide platform of a common humanity.

4. Resolved, That the history of the American people, when all other considerations be fanaticism, then we glory in being fanatics; that if to be in deadly antagonism to a pro-slavery religion be infidelity, then we are infidels; and if to declare that a slaveholding Union has been and still is a conspiracy against liberty, we are content to be termed traitors; for "the head and front of our offending hath this extent—no more."

6. Resolved, That all the features of the late decision of Judge Taney, in behalf of the slaveholding interest, by the Supreme Court of the United States Supreme Court, in the Dred Scott case, are marked by a brutality of spirit, a daring disregard of all historical verity, a defiant contempt of State sovereignty, a wanton perversion of the Constitution of the United States, and a shameless truckling to the behests of slaveholding, as to constitute the most audacious denial of all the principles of justice and humanity, that justly call for the sternest condemnation, and its indignant rejection as a decision binding upon the conscience or conduct of any man, or any part of the country.

7. Resolved, That while the armed invasion and bloody conquest of Kansas, by Southern "Border Ruffians," and the recent decision of the United States Supreme Court in regard to the citizenship of the coloured people, have filled the country with alarm and dismay, and with indignant horror at the usurpation and the millionaire of California, it is absolute in its scope, before us to-day, it is to the folly of those that think it weak, and it laughs at them. What does it fear? It has forgotten God, and there are only two things in the universe that it does fear, and those are the Lord and William Lloyd Garrison. Out in Kansas my brilliant friend, James A. Hinton, was making one of his characteristic speeches to the people, and he wanted words to describe the position of the slaveholders of Kansas; for Kansas, like Rome of old, has two consuls, one for war and one for peace. He characterized the position of the Border Ruffians towards Charles Robinson and himself by saying, "The Missourians hate Jim Lane as they hate the devil; Charles Robinson they hate"—and he paused to think of something that the Missourians hated worse than the devil, and said—"Charles Robinson they hate as they hate virtue"; and everybody agreed that it was the best description of the men ever given. The Missourians of Washington, the Missourians of the South, have the same two leading traits, and it is equally well deserved. They know whom they have to fear.

Mr. Chairman, I begun by saying that I never had accepted the opinion which prevails upon this platform of the character of the Constitution. A few words only upon that. I never have held, and I hope I never shall hold, that the Constitution or anything else is to be interpreted in a pro-slavery manner if you can possibly find any other sense in it. I never have held, and I trust I never shall hold, that it is to be interpreted by what its framers meant to put in it, but only, like all other legal instruments, by what they succeeded in getting into it. Some regard it as strongly pro-slavery, and others as strongly anti-slavery, and others as Talleyrand regarded the French Constitution, when he said it meant nothing and never would mean anything, because he made it to suit self on purpose (laughter). I think that hits the nail upon the head. There is one rule in the interpretation of documents where liberty is concerned, and that is to put in liberty wherever there is a loophole to cram it in by. The authority best adapted to our purpose, so far as I know, is the very memorable decision rendered in the case where Shylock was the plaintiff, Portia the judge, and William Shakspeare the reporter of the court. If there be in that Constitution any particular left, if there be an ounce of power given to the slaveholder, where he dares to put the screw or a scruple at scruple left, where you can force an anti-slavery meaning into it, you have a right to put that meaning in, and every honest man will justify you in the effort. The mightiest intellect and the profoundest moral sense, for such a purpose as that, may narrow itself down to microscopic investigation. It may pass through as delicate a fissure as that which held Ariel in the cloven pine, if by so doing it can transform one slave into a freeman.

It is only a question of will, whether it shall be done or not. We, in our movement, framed as the Constitution was, is without the opportunities which that gives. I do not regret that we the loophole to found; there may be one in the word "law"; there may be another in the word "due." I do not care how small it is; give me room enough to put in the point of the bayonet if necessary. Where is the difference as a question of fact? Nothing. All intellect, all the genius, all the learning ever expended upon the point of Constitutional interpretation, are not worth, in the practical solution of the slavery question, a millionth part so much as the poorest but thatever a fugitive slave fired at his master, not worth the thrust of the dagger that made Margaret Garner's child a free being in heaven instead of a slave upon earth is the greater part of his own love of freedom, and by choosing out of Mr. Garrison's virtues to extol, not his truthfulness, not his courage, not his zeal, but "the patriotism of the disunionist, Mr. Garrison." I have, therefore, high political authority for what I say.

I stand here upon this platform with pleasure, for two reasons. The first is this: We hear it said every day that the Abolitionists of the American Anti-Slavery Society are stern, narrow, sectarian, illiberal, intolerant of any man or of any opinion which does not fully coincide with them or their views; living without the pale of the fabric of the day of the Church. From the moment when first, in an obscure country parish of New England, I ventured to peep and mutter upon the subject of American slavery, the support, the unfailing friendship of the Abolitionists around me has been mine. Never agreeing with them wholly, never asked to agree with them wholly, never accepting that special dogma of the interpretation of the Constitution, which is supposed to be the narrow standard by which they try all virtues, I have found ever from them a sympathy more than my deserts, a friendliness which I never earned. I could not in words, perhaps, refute the charge of illiberality against them; but it is refuted by my standing here.

I have another reason for standing here. I look in vain throughout the nation for another place to stand and find men and women who see slavery as it is and in its full strength. I have cooperated with political Abolitionists all my life; I may still cooperate with them if they will be kind enough to pass by my door. I have cooperated all my life with anti-slavery clergymen also. But I have looked in vain for a body of men who understand slavery in its depth except the Abolitionists of the Anti-Slavery Society. With all others it is a superficial thing. Every man who has been in Republican meetings knows it, if he knows slavery at all. Every man who has been in the habit of talking anti-slavery with those who talk it loudly and habitually, in the streets and the caucuses, knows the shallowness of their perception of this giant evil. A young New Yorker whom I met, not long since, in a foreign country, told me that he was an Abolitionist. "I am from America; I am from New York; of course I am an Abolitionist," says he; "but, then, I am not an ultra Abolitionist, like Seward and Greeley" (laughter). Well, we have come here among a class of men also not ultra Abolitionists, but Anti-Slavery; of quite a different stamp; but Seward and Greeley are ultra Abolitionists in their manner, in their earnestness, in their fidelity; ultra Abolitionists compared with the mass of the Republican party. The mass of the Republican party have only begun to open their eyes to the grasp that slavery has taken upon the nation. The great number of Republican speakers see slavery, after all, as a trivial evil compared with its reality. Of course they see, for he must be blind who does not see, that it is the first political question before the nation, because there is no other political question before the nation. It needs no insight to see that slavery is more important than the bank or the tariff; infinitely more important, and in the right hand. They are no questions at all. They paint slavery, therefore, as the first question before the nation; they paint the Slave Power as something strong indeed, hnt not colossal; powerful indeed, but not frightful. They think it is a demon, but that it is a kind of demon that goes out, after all, very easily by prayer and fasting, the prayer of three thousand Yankee clergymen and the fasting for the loaves and fishes of the Republican party for four years more (laughter).

They do not see it, they never have seen it as it is. This very morning I read in an able Republican journal the statement that, after all, however it may have seemed in times past, the Slave Power is "a weak thing," when you come broadly to look it in the face. "A weak thing," Mr. Chairman? If the power that has controlled this nation since its formation, that has for half a century elected every President, dictated every Cabinet, controlled every Congress, the power that has demoralized the religion of the nation and emasculated its literature, the power that outwitted Clay and stultified Webster, the power that has made the North mercenary, and the northern dehtors, the power that at this moment stands with all the patronage of the greatest nation in the world in its clutches, and upon the firmest financial basis in the world—so George Peabody says—of cotton heneath its feet—if this power be weak, where in the wide universe will you look for anything strong? Weakness? Why, slavery is king; king *de facto*. It is as strong now as it was when the Declaration was only known as the signature of the men who framed it, and when the millionaire of California, it is absolute in its scope, before us to-day, it is to the folly of those that think it weak, and it laughs at them. What does it fear? It has forgotten God, and there are only two things in the universe that it does fear, and those are the Lord and William Lloyd Garrison. Out in Kansas my brilliant friend, James A. Hinton, was making one of his characteristic speeches to the people, and he wanted words to describe the position of the slaveholders of Kansas; for Kansas, like Rome of old, has two consuls, one for war and one for peace. He characterized the position of the Border Ruffians towards Charles Robinson and himself by saying, "The Missourians hate Jim Lane as they hate the devil; Charles Robinson they hate"—and he paused to think of something that the Missourians hated worse than the devil, and said—"Charles Robinson they hate as they hate virtue"; and everybody agreed that it was the best description of the men ever given. The Missourians of Washington, the Missourians of the South, have the same two leading traits, and it is equally well deserved. They know whom they have to fear.

Mr. Chairman, I begun by saying that I never had accepted the opinion which prevails upon this platform of the character of the Constitution. A few words only upon that. I never have held, and I hope I never shall hold, that the Constitution or anything else is to be interpreted in a pro-slavery manner if you can possibly find any other sense in it. I never have held, and I trust I never shall hold, that it is to be interpreted by what its framers meant to put in it, but only, like all other legal instruments, by what they succeeded in getting into it. Some regard it as strongly pro-slavery, and others as strongly anti-slavery, and others as Talleyrand regarded the French Constitution, when he said it meant nothing and never would mean anything, because he made it to suit self on purpose (laughter). I think that hits the nail upon the head. There is one rule in the interpretation of documents where liberty is concerned, and that is to put in liberty wherever there is a loophole to cram it in by. The authority best adapted to our purpose, so far as I know, is the very memorable decision rendered in the case where Shylock was the plaintiff, Portia the judge, and William Shakspeare the reporter of the court. If there be in that Constitution any particular left, if there be an ounce of power given to the slaveholder, where he dares to put the screw or a scruple at scruple left, where you can force an anti-slavery meaning into it, you have a right to put that meaning in, and every honest man will justify you in the effort. The mightiest intellect and the profoundest moral sense, for such a purpose as that, may narrow itself down to microscopic investigation. It may pass through as delicate a fissure as that which held Ariel in the cloven pine, if by so doing it can transform one slave into a freeman.

It is only a question of will, whether it shall be done or not. We, in our movement, framed as the Constitution was, is without the opportunities which that gives. I do not regret that we the loophole to found; there may be one in the word "law"; there may be another in the word "due." I do not care how small it is; give me room enough to put in the point of the bayonet if necessary. Where is the difference as a question of fact? Nothing. All intellect, all the genius, all the learning ever expended upon the point of Constitutional interpretation, are not worth, in the practical solution of the slavery question, a millionth part so much as the poorest but thatever a fugitive slave fired at his master, not worth the thrust of the dagger that made Margaret Garner's child a free being in heaven instead of a slave upon earth is the greater part of his own love of freedom, and by choosing out of Mr. Garrison's virtues to extol, not his truthfulness, not his courage, not his zeal, but "the patriotism of the disunionist, Mr. Garrison." I have, therefore, high political authority for what I say.

I stand here upon this platform with pleasure, for two reasons. The first is this: We hear it said every day that the Abolitionists of the American Anti-Slavery Society are stern, narrow, sectarian, illiberal, intolerant of any man or of any opinion which does not fully coincide with them or their views; living without the pale of the fabric of the day of the Church. From the moment when first, in an obscure country parish of New England, I ventured to peep and mutter upon the subject of American slavery, the support, the unfailing friendship of the Abolitionists around me has been mine. Never agreeing with them wholly, never asked to agree with them wholly, never accepting that special dogma of the interpretation of the Constitution, which is supposed to be the narrow standard by which they try all virtues, I have found ever from them a sympathy more than my deserts, a friendliness which I never earned. I could not in words, perhaps, refute the charge of illiberality against them; but it is refuted by my standing here.

I have another reason for standing here. I look in vain throughout the nation for another place to stand and find men and women who see slavery as it is and in its full strength. I have cooperated with political Abolitionists all my life; I may still cooperate with them if they will be kind enough to pass by my door. I have cooperated all my life with anti-slavery clergymen also. But I have looked in vain for a body of men who understand slavery in its depth except the Abolitionists of the Anti-Slavery Society. With all others it is a superficial thing. Every man who has been in Republican meetings knows it, if he knows slavery at all. Every man who has been in the habit of talking anti-slavery with those who talk it loudly and habitually, in the streets and the caucuses, knows the shallowness of their perception of this giant evil. A young New Yorker whom I met, not long since, in a foreign country, told me that he was an Abolitionist. "I am from America; I am from New York; of course I am an Abolitionist," says he; "but, then, I am not an ultra Abolitionist, like Seward and Greeley" (laughter). Well, we have come here among a class of men also not ultra Abolitionists, but Anti-Slavery; of quite a different stamp; but Seward and Greeley are ultra Abolitionists in their manner, in their earnestness, in their fidelity; ultra Abolitionists compared with the mass of the Republican party. The mass of the Republican party have only begun to open their eyes to the grasp that slavery has taken upon the nation. The great number of Republican speakers see slavery, after all, as a trivial evil compared with its reality. Of course they see, for he must be blind who does not see, that it is the first political question before the nation, because there is no other political question before the nation. It needs no insight to see that slavery is more important than the bank or the tariff; infinitely more important, and in the right hand. They are no questions at all. They paint slavery, therefore, as the first question before the nation; they paint the Slave Power as something strong indeed, hnt not colossal; powerful indeed, but not frightful. They think it is a demon, hut that it is a kind of demon that goes out, after all, very easily by prayer and fasting, the prayer of three thousand Yankee clergymen and the fasting for the loaves and fishes of the Republican party for four years more (laughter).

They do not see it, they never have seen it as it is. This very morning I read in an able Republican journal the statement that, after all, however it may have seemed in times past, the Slave Power is "a weak thing," when you come broadly to look it in the face. "A weak thing," Mr. Chairman? If the power that has controlled this nation since its formation, that has for half a century elected every President, dictated every Cabinet, controlled every Congress, the power that has demoralized the religion of the nation and emasculated its literature, the power that outwitted Clay and stultified Webster, the power that has made the North mercenary, and the northern dehtors, the power that at this moment stands with all the patronage of the greatest nation in the world in its clutches, and upon the firmest financial basis in the world—so George Peabody says—of cotton heneath its feet—if this power be weak, where in the wide universe will you look for anything strong? Weakness? Why, slavery is king; king *de facto*. It is as strong now as it was when Franklin

religion (laughter and applause). But the fact to which I was going to refer is this: that we hear in the South serious apprehensions about the orthodoxy of such ministers as Henry Ward Beecher and Dr. Cheever, and some others whose course in regard to slavery has been unlike that of most of their ecclesiastical brethren; and the Northern ministers have been a good deal exercised in regard to the same. Now, I do not myself think much of Mr. Beecher's anti-slavery. I think he hates slavery well enough, but I think he hates genuine anti-slavery a little more than he should. Nevertheless, this much is also to be said on that of the great body of the Northern clergy that his clerical brethren are a great deal disturbed about him. The piety and sanctity of the New York Observer are greatly shocked by the aberrations of Henry Ward Beecher. Dr. Cheever preached a few discourses last Autumn, in connection with the Presidential canvass, against the extension of slavery, and some of the people thought they might be interested in coming and so collected together their publication. I believe he went through the City of New York, among all the publishers, to find a man who would append his name to those sermons as publisher. Dr. Cheever had made himself quite conspicuous by the delivery of those three or four discourses. He did publish them; he had to go to some obscure or less popular house, and assume the publication of them himself. Well, then, the ministers all around, as well as the New York Observer and New York book publishers, are a great deal disturbed at Mr. Beecher and Dr. Cheever. I believe they have thought seriously of sending Dr. Cheever to the mad-house, and I don't know but they may have to send Mr. Beecher there to take care of him (laughter). But what I was going to say is this: their orthodoxy is called in question, their fidelity to the denomination is called in question, their loyalty to the sect is called in question.

A little while ago, I went into a town in New Hampshire, where there was an orthodox minister. I don't think he is very widely known, but I will not name him here. I rather thought from his appearance that the modernity and pomposity with which he seemed to abound constituted the greatest part of his piety, unless it may be, perhaps, the addition of a little potato starch (laughter). He was talking with him about Henry Ward Beecher, and he drew himself up, elongated his face, and said, "Yes, but we are losing our confidence in Henry Ward Beecher."

Said I, "Who are we?" "O, we ministers," said he, "and we think he is getting entirely over the track." Well, I do not suppose Mr. Beecher will ever feel materially the loss of that man's confidence (laughter). But yet I think it a very significant fact. These two men have made themselves conspicuous, and the Church, the ministry, the New York *Observer*, and even the New York *Evangelist*, think they are a little wide of the mark, that they are a little latitudinarian, and that the sect is likely to suffer by their aberrations. But here is a fact which has not yet at all attracted the notice of the N. Y. *Observer* or Congregational ministry, or any of the great conservators of the ecclesiastical faith, and that is the entire opposition of the New York Observer, men may take pretty broad ground, and yet not by any means alarm their brethren in the clerical profession, or in the editorial chairs of the religious press.

I have here one of the letters of the Rev. Dr. Ross, of Tennessee, well known as a prominent member of the New School General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, the same who, when that body met year before last in Buffalo, and somebody got a resolution before the Assembly proposing to inquire how many slaveholders there were in the Presbyterian Church and how many slaves there were and what was their condition, moved an amendment proposing to strike out all after the word "inquire" and insert, "how many Northern Abolitionists have wives who attend Woman's Rights Conventions, and wear Bloomer dresses, how many wives of Presbyterians of the North have henpecked husbands (laughter), and how many Presbyterians get rich by the manufacture of slave-whips for the use of Union Legrees." I mention this in order to show that you may understand the character of the gentleman I am going to introduce to you. He is one of those, I think, who have his misfortune, when they speak, to be understood.

The Rev. Albert Barnes, of Philadelphia, another member of the New School Presbyterian Church, has recently written a book, in which he first beseeches one divinity and then the other, until I believe, both sides claim him; as North claim him as anti-slavery, and the South as pro-slavery. I think his book, with a very little alteration, will answer, like Linnæus, for any American meridian whatever. Dr. Ross has written Mr. Barnes some letters in regard to this book, in which the Dr. declares that in the discussions in the General Assembly, though the weight of the arguments and most of the feeling may have been on the side of the North, the power and practice of the General Assembly are entirely on the side of slavery. In one of these letters he asks Mr. Barnes several questions, and then goes on to state several propositions, one or two of which I will read:

"God has thus given to the Southern planter an absolute monopoly—a monopoly so great that he, the Southern planter, sits now upon his throne of cotton, and wields the sceptre of power which the world has to wield. He says to minister who says, to-day, to haughty England, go to war, you dare! Yes, he who sits on the throne of the cotton-king has trimphed in the battle of free labor, and he has won the victory. England is prostrate at his feet, as well as the Abolitionists.

"God has permitted the anti-slavery men of the North, and of England, and everywhere, so to blind themselves in hypocrisy as to give the Southern slaveholder his most perfect triumph over them. For God tells the planter of cotton: 'Ye men of Boston, New York, London, Paris—ye hypocrites—ye brand me as a pirate, a kidnapper, a murderer, a demon, fit only for hell—and yet, ye buy my cotton last and cheap. O! ye hypocrites. Ye Boston hypocrites—why don't you throw the cotton in the sea, as your brethren did the tea? Ye Boston hypocrites—ye Abolitionists—ye New England hypocrites—ye Old England hypocrites—ye French hypocrites—ye New York hypocrites—ye Philadelphia hypocrites—ye Rhode Island Consociation hypocrites. O! your holy twaddle stinks in the nostrils of God, and he commands me to lash you with my scorn, and to smite you with my judgments, and to the ruin of slavery, and then bow down to me, and buy, and spin cotton—and use my work for me as truly as my slaves. O! ye fools and blind, I will put up the measure of folly and blindness, and shame.'" (Laughter and applause.)

Now the piety of the New York *Observer* has been shocked at the aberrations of Mr. Beecher and Dr. Cheever, but have any cold cibils gone over its iron mane at reading such language as that? Have you ever thought of it, that while all these so-called anti-slavery denominations of the North have been expressing their strongest disapproval of the language of their most violent anti-slavery men, not one of them has borne any testimony against such outrageous language as that? Now I judge not only by one phrase or resolution, or one series of resolutions, but by a long course of action, and I find this fact standing out in bold relief, that, with all the anti-slavery pretension and profession of these religious bodies, it is not Beechers and the Cheevers that cause the alarm and not the Dr. Rosses, who come up from the South (applause).

Dr. McLane, of Mississippi, another prominent member of the New School General Assembly, at Buffalo, year before last, said, "If you ask me how many cases of cruelty have occurred, I answer, as many of them as are to be found in the records of human depravity." Now I ask, I answer, as many of them as are to be found in the records of human depravity. Now that does not cause any apprehension or alarm on the part of the Northern members of the body, nor has it led to any threats of sending such men to the same asylum.

It is from such facts as these that I elicit my conclusion that the cause of the people of the North who profess to be anti-slavery are deceived, at least in their position, and that the men who represent them, either in the republican party or in these great denominations are, either knowingly deceivers, liars, hypocrites, or else they are themselves deceived; and the reason why we have such indifference, such apathy and such obtuseness, is owing to the fact that there are so few men who, when they speak, have the misfortune to be understood.

I did not propose to occupy much of the time; I cannot occupy much in a room so large as this; but I am very glad of an opportunity once again, after seven years' absence from the City of New York, of once more making my voice heard within it. The last time I was in your city was at an anniversary in 1850, when we were honored with a mob, headed by the illustrious Capt. Ryders, locked up at least by the consent of the Mayor of the city. I fancy some change has come over the spirit of your dream in this city since then (applause), and that although Isaiah Rynders is now a Marshal of the United States, and announces himself ready to send runaway slaves, he would not much relish such an American slave who may be making his way out of the harbor of New York (applause). I tell you, friends, that God himself authorizes us to believe that publicans and harlots are nearer the kingdom of Heaven than many of the professed friends and ministers of religion (applause), and I think there is more to hope from such men as Rynders than from the editors of the New York *Observer* and their kindred sentiment (applause).

ROBERT PURVIS followed Mr. Pillsbury in an exceedingly pertinent, earnest and forcible address. WENDELL PHILLIPS made the concluding speech, and we only echo the general opinion of his friends when we say that his utterance was never more eloquent, powerful, or effective. Want of room compels us to break off our report at this point. We shall resume it, of course, next week. In regard to the subsequent meetings (on Tuesday evening and Wednesday morning and afternoon) we can only say now that they were numerously attended and deeply interesting. We may safely affirm that the American Anti-Slavery Society never held a more successful Anniversary than that of the present year.

CREEDS UP—HUMANITY DOWN.

The Boston *Christian Freeman* (Universalist) took occasion lately to inform the world that it could not fraternize, because it could not be called *Christians* by him. This doctrine was lately set forth and applied in a review of a sermon preached by the Rev. A. D. Mayo, of Albany, an eminent Universalist clergyman, whose Christian character is contested by Christ's own rule, "By their fruits ye shall know them," would not suffer, probably, in comparison with that of the editor of the *Freeman*, or of any other man holding his sentiments. The sermon in question was that upon "Theology in America," a notice of which lately appeared in these columns.

The *Freeman*, however, while thus careful to "strain out a gnat" of theological heresy, finds no difficulty in swallowing, hump and all, a whole "camel" of pro-slavery heresy and practice; and that, too, in spite of its own strong anti-slavery professions! Mr. Mayo, an anti-slavery man, eminent for the practical fruits of his anti-slavery principle, the addition of a little potato starch (longhter). was talking with him about Henry Ward Beecher, and he drew himself up, elongated his face, and said, "Yes, but we are losing our confidence in Henry Ward Beecher."

Said I, "Who are we?" "O, we ministers," said he, "and we think he is getting entirely over the track." Well, I do not suppose Mr. Beecher will ever feel materially the loss of that man's confidence (laughter). But yet I think it a very significant fact. These two men have made themselves conspicuous, and the Church, the ministry, the New York *Observer*, and even the New York *Evangelist*, think they are a little wide of the mark, that they are a little latitudinarian, and that the sect is likely to suffer by their aberrations. But here is a fact which has not yet at all attracted the notice of the N. Y. *Observer* or Congregational ministry, or any of the great conservators of the ecclesiastical faith, and that is the entire opposition of the *Observer* to anti-slavery, men may take pretty broad ground, and yet not by any means alarm their brethren in the clerical profession, or in the editorial chairs of the religious press.

I have here one of the letters of the Rev. Dr. Ross, of Tennessee, well known as a prominent member of the New School General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, the same who, when that body met year before last in Buffalo, and somebody got a resolution before the Assembly proposing to inquire how many slaveholders there were in the Presbyterian Church and how many slaves there were and what was their condition, moved an amendment proposing to strike out all after the word "inquire" and insert, "how many Northern Abolitionists have wives who attend Woman's Rights Conventions, and wear Bloomer dresses, how many wives of Presbyterians of the North have henpecked husbands (laughter), and how many Presbyterians get rich by the manufacture of slave-whips for the use of Union Legrees." I mention this in order to show that you may understand the character of the gentleman I am going to introduce to you. He is one of those, I think, who have his misfortune, when they speak, to be understood.

The Rev. Albert Barnes, of Philadelphia, another member of the New School Presbyterian Church, has recently written a book, in which he first beseeches one divinity and then the other, until I believe, both sides claim him; as North claim him as anti-slavery, and the South as pro-slavery. I think his book, with a very little alteration, will answer, like Linnæus, for any American meridian whatever. Dr. Ross has written Mr. Barnes some letters in regard to this book, in which the Dr. declares that in the discussions in the General Assembly, though the weight of the arguments and most of the feeling may have been on the side of the North, the power and practice of the General Assembly are entirely on the side of slavery. In one of these letters he asks Mr. Barnes several questions, and then goes on to state several propositions, one or two of which I will read:

"God has thus given to the Southern planter an absolute monopoly—a monopoly so great that he, the Southern planter, sits now upon his throne of cotton, and wields the sceptre of power which the world has to wield. He says to minister who says, to-day, to haughty England, go to war, you dare! Yes, he who sits on the throne of the cotton-king has trimphed in the battle of free labor, and he has won the victory. England is prostrate at his feet, as well as the Abolitionists.

"God has permitted the anti-slavery men of the North, and of England, and everywhere, so to blind themselves in hypocrisy as to give the Southern slaveholder his most perfect triumph over them. For God tells the planter of cotton: 'Ye men of Boston, New York, London, Paris—ye hypocrites—ye brand me as a pirate, a kidnapper, a murderer, a demon, fit only for hell—and yet, ye buy my cotton last and cheap. O! ye hypocrites. Ye Boston hypocrites—why don't you throw the cotton in the sea, as your brethren did the tea? Ye Boston hypocrites—ye New England hypocrites—ye Old England hypocrites—ye French hypocrites—ye New York hypocrites—ye Philadelphia hypocrites—ye Rhode Island Consociation hypocrites. O! your holy twaddle stinks in the nostrils of God, and he commands me to lash you with my scorn, and to smite you with my judgments, and to the ruin of slavery, and then bow down to me, and buy, and spin cotton—and use my work for me as truly as my slaves. O! ye fools and blind, I will put up the measure of folly and blindness, and shame.'" (Laughter and applause.)

Now the piety of the New York *Observer* has been shocked at the aberrations of Mr. Beecher and Dr. Cheever, but have any cold cibils gone over its iron mane at reading such language as that? Have you ever thought of it, that while all these so-called anti-slavery denominations of the North have been expressing their strongest disapproval of the language of their most violent anti-slavery men, not one of them has borne any testimony against such outrageous language as that? Now I judge not only by one phrase or resolution, or one series of resolutions, but by a long course of action, and I find this fact standing out in bold relief, that, with all the anti-slavery pretension and profession of these religious bodies, it is not Beechers and the Cheevers that cause the alarm and not the Dr. Rosses, who come up from the South (applause).

Dr. McLane, of Mississippi, another prominent member of the New School General Assembly, at Buffalo, year before last, said, "If you ask me how many cases of cruelty have occurred, I answer, as many of them as are to be found in the records of human depravity." Now I ask, I answer, as many of them as are to be found in the records of human depravity. Now that does not cause any apprehension or alarm on the part of the Northern members of the body, nor has it led to any threats of sending such men to the same asylum.

It is from such facts as these that I elicit my conclusion that the cause of the people of the North who profess to be anti-slavery are deceived, at least in their position, and that the men who represent them, either in the republican party or in these great denominations are, either knowingly deceivers, liars, hypocrites, or else they are themselves deceived; and the reason why we have such indifference, such apathy and such obtuseness, is owing to the fact that there are so few men who, when they speak, have the misfortune to be understood.

I did not propose to occupy much of the time; I cannot occupy much in a room so large as this; but I am very glad of an opportunity once again, after seven years' absence from the City of New York, of once more making my voice heard within it. The last time I was in your city was at an anniversary in 1850, when we were honored with a mob, headed by the illustrious Capt. Ryders, locked up at least by the consent of the Mayor of the city. I fancy some change has come over the spirit of your dream in this city since then (applause), and that although Isaiah Rynders is now a Marshal of the United States, and announces himself ready to send runaway slaves, he would much rather cultivate such an American slave who may be making his way out of the harbor of New York (applause). I tell you, friends, that God himself authorizes us to believe that publicans and harlots are nearer the kingdom of Heaven than many of the professed friends and ministers of religion (applause), and I think there is more to hope from such men as Rynders than from the editors of the New York *Observer* and their kindred sentiment (applause).

PHILADELPHIA INSTITUTE FOR COLOURED YOUTH.

The following notice of this institution we copy from the Philadelphia *Daily Times* of the 8th inst.

"The fifth annual examination of this thriving and valuable Institution took place yesterday, and was largely attended by our citizens. The students, during the day, were put to the test, and passed, with credit to themselves, in a manner which would have rendered honest upon those of another hue, a thorough scrutiny in the mathematics—geometry, astronomy, the higher and more abstruse parts of algebra, and even in the fluxionary calculus—as well as in Latin, Greek, and the French composition, and various other branches. The diploma, bearing the seal of the institute, was presented to one young man, Jacob C. White, Jr., who graduated in the classical course, by Joshua L. Bailey on behalf of the Board of Trustees. The rhetorical exercises of the evening were attended with much excellent oratory, which seemed highly delighted and pleased. After these were had, Mr. Charles L. Remond, of Massachusetts, a coloured orator of some distinction, took the stand, and spoke most beautifully and much to the delight of the audience. He said he had seen somewhat of the old world as well as the new, but, after what he had just witnessed, he should go home to Massachusetts feeling more proud of his race on the final redemption of his race. He wished to tender his heartfelt gratitude to the trustees of the Institute, and to the teachers, for what he considered to be a thorough and the great cause nearest his heart. "A better day," said he, "is, I know, about to dawn upon my own outraged and wronged people; and when that day shall have come, let not his friends forget that the Institute of Philadelphia have proved themselves our friends in these days of adversity.

"Mr. Remond was followed by Wm. S. Pierce, Esq., who said he saw, in various algebraic and geometric characters and symbols on the blackboards around him, that they had been going on during the day. Mr. Pierce he was a lawyer, and was accustomed to weighing evidence; and he must say, after what he had seen, that the performances of the pupils here were fully equal to those of the pupils of the Central High School. The thoroughness whose recent examination he had had the pleasure to attend. Mr. P., as one of the audience, said he wished to return his thanks, through the Institute, to the Board of Trustees, to the teachers for what he regarded as a day of intellectual feasting. Mr. Bassett responded on behalf of the teachers, and thanked the audience for their presence and attention, after which the audience slowly dispersed.

"The tuition, text-books, stationery, &c., of this institute are *entirely gratuitous* to the coloured youth of our city or elsewhere, and we trust that our friends will hereafter be ashamed to felicitate itself that in impeaching the Christian standing of Mr. Mayo, it has won the applause of "a wolf in sheep's clothing." Here is the "reward of merit" which it received at the hands of the man who, a few years since, blasphemed the name of God by pronouncing him "A DEALER IN SLAVES!"

Louisville, Ky., April 19, 1857.

Dr. Cox: I am much pleased with the editorial in the *Freeman* of last week in relation to Mr. Mayo's sermon, entitled "Theology in America." Be pleased to send me the sermon referred to in a note, on the question of *Christianity* subjected to the test of slavery. I want to see how praised that you are ever ready and able, to repel error in whatever form it may attempt to assail the glorious gospel of Christ. Nothing which I have read, of late, has given me more interest or more interesting to me, than the criticisms on the publications of the day, which have appeared from time to time in your valuable paper. They are not only just and true to the spirit of the gospel, but they breathe a Christ spirit. My health, I think, is gradually improving. Please remember me most affectionately to Mrs. Cobb.

With kindest regards, I remain, yours in hope of immortality. T. Clapp.

Peckskniff himself could n't beat this. How potent is Cant! But how intensely disgusting is this unctuous mouthing of sacred phrases, this affectation of concern for "the glorious gospel of Christ" and the "Christinity of the New Testament" on the part of one who regards with complacency the enslavement of millions of God's children, for whose salvation Jesus lived and died!

INTOLERANCE IN VIRGINIA.

Recently the Rev. Silas Totten, a Professor in William and Mary College, Va., wrote a letter to Eli Thayer, a friend in New York, in which he vindicated Virginia from Northern disparagement of her resources and credit. He took occasion to say, however, that *The South* newspaper at Richmond did not fairly represent the sentiment of sober and reflecting people in that State in relation to Eli Thayer's project of colonization. The State, he said was in want of mors "free white labour"; and although the colonization scheme would not do for the East, "it might do well enough in the West." Mr. Totten's letter is the one which represents Virginia and the South generally to be in constant fear of a servile insurrection, and said that the excitement on that subject last Fall was mostly manufactured by the newspapers. The letter, in its whole spirit and purpose, was so decidedly *Southern* that the writer instinctively refrained from sending it to the *Evening Post* from a conviction that that paper would refuse to publish it. The friend, however, to whom it was addressed, sent it to the *Post*, which promptly published it with editorial comments. The spirit which this barmens pro-slavery letter rebuked among the more zealous of slavery's champions in Virginia is revealed in the objurgatory comments of *The South* and in the following letter:

Williamsburg (Va.), April 30, 1857.

To the Editor of "The South":

Having seen in your last issue a request that some friend would inform you if the Reverend Silas Totten was not, as you surmised, a native-born Yankee, I take the deepest pleasure in informing you that he is not only the native of a free State, but from a locality well-known for its Abolition proclivities, viz., *Seekarie* County, in the State of New York, where he was born and raised, and is to be "down East" as the "*Nutmeg*"-producing State of Connecticut. He has only resided in the State of Virginia for some five or six years—which, I suppose, is sufficient time for a somewhat lengthy existence, breathed only the foul air of *abolition Seekarie*.

The case of the Rev. Dr. Silas Totten is only another confirmation strong of that how far soever the genuine Yankee Abolitionist may be transplanted from the influences which surrounded his youth and manhood, he impressions and sentiments there imbibed are ineradicable and *ingenital* alterations. There are those, and by no means a minority of our people, who have long been satisfied of the mischievous nature of the influence that has already gone from this institution; but he has himself exposed them in his correspondence with the North, it becomes a question of grave importance with the Visitors of William and Mary College, if they can longer retain in the chair a character shall be retained in its Faculty. Verily, if they see fit to discard from its precincts, *Seekarie* County, in the State of New York, as being the "down East" as the "*Nutmeg*"-producing State of Connecticut. He has only resided in the State of Virginia for some five or six years—which, I suppose, is sufficient time for a somewhat lengthy existence, breathed only the foul air of *abolition Seekarie*.

The case of the Rev. Dr. Silas Totten is only another confirmation strong of that how far soever the genuine Yankee Abolitionist may be transplanted from the influences which surrounded his youth and manhood, his impressions and sentiments there imbibed are ineradicable and *ingenital* alterations. There are those, and by no means a minority of our people, who have long been satisfied of the mischievous nature of the influence that

THE DEAD SECRET.

CHAPTER THE SIXTEENTH. MOZART PLAYS FAREWELL.

Excepting that he took leave of Betsey, the servant-maid, with great cordiality, Uncle Joseph spoke not another word, after his parting reply to Mr. Mundor, until he and his niece were alone again under the east wall of Forthgenna Tower. There, he paused, looked up at the house, then at his companion, then back at the house once more, and at last opened his lips to speak.

"I am sorry, my child," he said. "I am sorry from the heart. This has been what you call in England a very bad job."

Thinking that he referred to the scene which had just passed in the housekeeper's room, Sarah asked his pardon for having given way to innocent means to punish him into angry collision with such a person as Mr. Mundor.

"No no! no!" he cried. "I was not thinking of the man of the big body and the big words. He made me angry, it is true; but I have got rid of him all over and gone now. I put him and his big words away from me as I kick this stone here, from the pathway into the road. It is not of your Munders, or your housekeepers, or your Beanies, that I now speak—it is of something that is nearer to you, and nearer to me also, because I made of your interest my own interest, too. I shall tell you what it is, while we walk on—for I see in your face, Sarah, that you are restless and in fear so long as we stop in the neighbourhood of this dungeon-house. Come! I am ready for the road, and you shall be quite at your ease when I by it, and pick up our little baggages at the inn where we left them, on the other side of this windy wilderness of a place."

"Yes, yes, uncle! Let us lose no time; let us walk fast. Don't be afraid of tiring me; I am much stronger now."

They turned into the same path by which they had approached Porthgenna Tower in the afternoon. By the time they had looked over a little more than the first hundred yards of their journey, Jacob, the boy-gardener's boy, stole out from behind the ruinous enclosure at the north side of the house, with his hoe in his hand. The sun had just set, but there was a fine light over the wide, open surface of the moor; and Jacob paused to let the old man and his niece get farther away from the building before he followed them. The housekeeper's instructions had directed him just to keep them in sight, and no more; and, if he happened to observe that they stopped and turned round to look behind them, he was to hide himself, and pretend to be digging with his hoe, as if he was at work on the moorland. Stimulated by the promise of a sixpence, if he was careful to do exactly as he had been told, Jacob kept his instructions in his memory, and kept his eye on the two strangers, and proved himself fairly to earn the reward in prospect for him as a boy could.

"And, now, my child, I shall tell you what it is I am sorry for," resumed Uncle Joseph, as they proceeded along the path. "I am sorry for the trouble I have put upon this journey, and run our little risk, and had our little scolding, and gained nothing. The word you said in my ear, Sarah, when I was getting you out of the faint (and you should have come out of it sooner, if the muddle-headed people of the house had been quicker with the water)—the word you said in my ear was not much, but it was enough to tell me that we have taken this journey in vain. I may hold my tongue, I may make my best face at it, I may be content to walk blindfolded with a stick in each hand to feel my path by, but I have not to put upon it; it is, in your plainest English, a very bad job."

As he concluded the expression of his sympathy in these queer terms, the dread and distrust, the watchful terror, that marked the early part of Sarah's cpay, disappeared in an expression of sorrowful tenderness, which seemed to give back to them all their beauty.

"Don't be sorry for me, uncle," she said, stopping, and gently brushing away his hand, and some specks of dust that lay on the collar of his coat. "I have suffered much and suffered so long that the heaviest disappointments pass lightly over me now."

"I won't hear you say it!" cried Uncle Joseph. "You give me pain. Don't hear me say it, or talk to me in this way. You shall have no more disappointments—no, you shall not! I, Joseph Buschmann, the Obstinate, the Pig-Headed, I say it!——"

"Say what you will, I shall have no more disappointments, uncle, is not far off, now. Let me suffer no longer, and endure a little longer: I have learned to be patient, and to hope for nothing. Fearing and falling, fearing and falling—that has been my life, ever since I was a young woman. I shall be accustomed to try this time, if none are surprised, as I now are, at finding me not succeeding myself of the letter when I had the keys of the Myrtle Room in my hand, and when no one was near to see me remember the history of my life, and take that as an explanation. Fearing and failing, fearing and failing—if I told you all the truth I could not prove the truth of it better than——"

The resignation in her voice became clear, while she spoke; and, again, she stopped, to recover that natural self-possession, which altered her, in the eyes of Uncle Joseph, almost past recognition. He looked at her in undisguised alarm.

"No, no!" he will not walk on; we will walk back to the dungeon-house; we will make another plan; we will try to get at this devil's imp of a letter in some other way. I care for no Munders, no housekeepers, no Beanies—I! I care for nothing, but the getting you the one thing we have to do—the taking you home again as easy in your mind as I am myself. Come! let us go back."

"It is too late to go back."

"How too late? Ah, dismal, dingy, dungeon-house of the devil! Too late you cried! Too late little Keeper, looking back over the prospect, and shaking both his fists at Porthgenna Tower.

"It is too late, uncle," she repeated. "Too late, because the opportunity is lost; too late, because if I could bring it back, I dare say we should only lose it again. My last hope was to change the hiding-place of the letter —and that last hope I have given up. I have only one object in life left now; you may help me in it; but I cannot tell you how, unless you will come on with me at once—unless you will say nothing more about going back to Porthgenna Tower."

Uncle Joseph began to expostulate. His niece stopped him in the middle of a sentence, by touching him on the shoulder and pointing to a particular spot on the darkening slope of the moor before them.

"Look!" she said, "there is somebody on the path behind us. Is it a boy, or a man?"

Uncle Joseph looked through the fading light, and saw a figure at some little distance. It seemed like the figure of a boy, and he was apparently engaged in digging on the moor.

"Let us turn round, and go on at once," pleaded Sarah, before the old man could answer her. "I can't say what I want to say to you, uncle, until we are safe under shelter at the inn."

They went on, until they reached the highest ground on the moor. There they stopped, and looked back again. The rest of their way lay down hill; and the spot on which they stood was the last point from which a view could be obtained of Porthgenna Tower.

"We have lost sight of the boy," said Uncle Joseph, looking over the ground below them.

Sarah's younger and sharper eyes bore witness to the truth of her uncle's words—the view over the moor was lonely then as ever, as far as she could see.

Before going on again, she moved a little out of the old man, and looked at the tower of the ancient house rising heavy and black in the dim light, with the dark sea-background stretching behind it like a wall. "Never again!" she murmured to herself. "Never, never, never again!" Her eyes wandered away to the church, and to the cemetery inclosure by its side, barely distinguishable now in the shadow of the coming night. "Wait for me a little longer, there, standing towards the burial-ground with straining eyes, and pressing her hand on her bosom, over the place where the hook of Hymns lay hid. "My wanderings are nearly at an end: the day for my coming home again is not far off!"

She turned back her eyes, and shut out the view. She rejoined her uncle, and, taking his arm again, drew him rapidly a few steps along the downward path—then checked herself, as it struck by a sudden suspicion, and walked back a few paces to the highest ridge of the ground in front of them. She said replying to her companion's look of surprise—"I thought I saw over, never, never again!" Her eyes wandered away to the church, and to the cemetery inclosure by its side, barely distinguishable now in the shadow of the coming night. "Wait for me a little longer, there, standing towards the burial-ground with straining eyes, and pressing her hand on her bosom, over the place where the hook of Hymns lay hid. "My wanderings are nearly at an end: the day for my coming home again is not far off!"

The tears blinded her eyes, and shut out the view. She rejoined her uncle, and, taking his arm again, drew him rapidly a few steps along the downward path—then checked herself, as it struck by a sudden suspicion, and walked back a few paces to the highest ridge of the ground in front of them. She said replying to her companion's look of surprise—"I thought I saw over, never, never again!" Her eyes wandered away to the church, and to the cemetery inclosure by its side, barely distinguishable now in the shadow of the coming night. "Wait for me a little longer, there, standing towards the burial-ground with straining eyes, and pressing her hand on her bosom, over the place where the hook of Hymns lay hid. "My wanderings are nearly at an end: the day for my coming home again is not far off!"

As the words passed her lips a figure stole out from behind one of the large fragments of granite-rock which were scattered over the waste on all sides of them, at once more the figure of the boy, and again he began to dig, without the slightest apparent reason, on the barren ground of the moor.

"Yes, yes, I see," said Uncle Joseph as his niece eagerly directed his attention to the suspicious figure. "It is the same boy, and he is digging still: and, if you please, what of that?"

Sarah did not attempt to answer. "Let us get on," she said hurriedly. "Let us get on as fast as we can to the inn!"

They turned again, and took the downward path. In less than a minute they had lost sight of Porthgenna Tower, of the old church, and of the whole of the Western view. Still, though there was now nothing but the gloom darkening monotonously to look back at, Sarah persisted in stopping at frequent intervals as long as there was any light left, to glance behind her. She made no remark; she offered no excuse for thus delaying the journey by halting on the turn. It was only when the last glimmer of the lights of the poet-town that they ceased looking back, and that she spoke to her companion. The few words she addressed to him amounted to nothing more than a request that he would ask for a private sitting-room, as soon as they reached their place of sojourn for the night.

They ordered beds at the inn, and were shown into the best parlour to wait for supper. The moment they were alone, Sarah drew a chair close to the old man's side, and whispered these words in his ear:

"Uncle! we have been followed every step of the way from Porthgenna Tower to this place."

"So! so! And how do you know that?" inquired Uncle Joseph.

"Hush! Somebody may be listening at the door, somebody may be creeping under the window. You noticed that boy who was digging on the moor?——"

"Yes, yes. Why, Sarah! do you frighten yourself, do you try to frighten me about a boy?"

"O not so loud! not so loud! They have laid a trap for us. Uncle! I suspected it when we first entered the doors of Porthgenna Tower; I am sure of it now. What did that boy mean by digging near us, and then looking at the steward, when we first got into the hall? I watched their faces, and I know they were talking about us. They were not half surprised enough at seeing us, not half surprised enough at hearing what we wanted. Don't laugh at my notions, uncle! I have got a fear in my heart, and mine. The keys—come closer—the keys of the north-rooms have got new labels on them; the doors have all been numbered. Think of that! Think of the whispering when we came in, and the whispering afterwards, in the housekeeper's room, that I could not hear! Uncle! I noticed the sudden change in that man's behaviour, after the housekeeper spoke to him—you must have noticed it? They let us in too easily, and they let us out too easily. No, no! I am not deluding myself. There was some secret motive for letting us out again. That boy on the moor betrays it, if nothing else does. I saw him following us all the way here, as plainly as I see you. I am not frightened without reason, this time. As surely as we two are together in this room, there is a trap laid for us by the people at Porthgenna Tower!"

"A trap? What trap? And how? and why? and wherefore?" inquired Uncle Joseph, expressing bewilderment by touching both his hands rapidly to and fro close before his eyes.

"They want to make me speak, they want to follow me, they want to find out where I go, they want to ask me questions," she answered, trembling violently. "Uncle! you must remember what I told you a few minutes since I said to Mrs. Frankland—I ought to have cut my tongue out rather than have spoken them! They have done dreadful mischief—I am certain of it—dreadful mischief in that house, which we myself suspected! I shall be questioned, if Mrs. Frankland finds out the truth. She will try to find me out—we shall be inquired after here—we must destroy all trace of where we go to next—we must make sure that the people at this inn can answer no questions," she answered, trembling violently. "Uncle! you must remember what I told you a few minutes since I said to Mrs. Frankland—I ought to have cut my tongue out rather than have spoken them! They have done dreadful mischief—I am certain of it—dreadful mischief in that house, which we myself suspected! I shall be questioned, if Mrs. Frankland finds out the truth. She will try to find me out—we shall be inquired after here—we must destroy all trace of where we go to next—we must make sure that the people at this inn can answer no questions, she answered, trembling violently. "Uncle! you must remember what I told you a few minutes since I said to Mrs. Frankland—I ought to have cut my tongue out rather than have spoken them! They have done dreadful mischief—I am certain of it—dreadful mischief in that house, which we myself suspected! I shall be questioned, if Mrs. Frankland finds out the truth. She will try to find me out—we shall be inquired after here—we must destroy all trace of where we go to next—we must make sure that the people at this inn can answer no questions,"